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Abstract:
This study aimed to analyze the role of resilience in the behavior of young workers who suffered moral harassment, from the perspective of professionals from a vocational training association. The research design took the form of a descriptive case study, consisting of in-depth interviews with 21 professionals. Results from content analysis indicated that young workers tend to build resilience to cope with moral harassment, whether out of necessity or gratitude. Yet, the findings also suggest that these workers are likely to be affected both personally and professionally by this kind of aggression.

Introduction
Talking about moral harassment and resilience in organisations is pertinent in order to raise questions about consent, submission and freedom of individuals. Moreover, it calls our attention to how people see meaning in their work, even after the suffering aggression.

According to Codo (1997), work presupposes “a relation of double transformation between man and nature, which generates meaning” (p. 26). It is through work that the relation between subject and object becomes meaningful. The meaning transcends, remaining beyond the subject and object relationship, the more complete and complex this relationship, the greater the pleasure of work (Codo, 1997). Giddens (2007) states that work, intrinsic to every economic system, is a way for people to meet their needs.

But often, to become competitive, companies use practices that harass workers, disrespecting them in the workplace, such as moral harassment. People become very fragile because of these attacks, which affect their emotional conditions, causing damage, often irreversible.

And one of the targets of these aggressions in the workplace is the young worker. They are considered a special population, with different interests, aspirations and needs when compared to groups of more experienced professionals. However, there are few studies on the work experience of this group of workers in the Administration field, even less on unpleasant or dysfunctional experiences at work, as is the case with moral harassment.

As for moral harassment, this is a phenomenon that purposefully deteriorates the working conditions of the person. This happens on the part of colleagues, usually unprepared managers, who want, at any cost, to increase productivity and results (Barreto, 2003; Dejours, 1993).
It is, therefore, a serious and extreme process of psychological violence, which happens continuously and repeatedly in the context of work, which humiliates, offends and embarrasses.

In the daily routine, moral harassment occurs in the form of recurrent, disturbing, rude and hostile practices, through action or omission (exclusion), embodied in gestures, words (written or spoken) and explicit, camouflaged/silent behaviors or procedures (Soboll, 2006). Guedes (2008) describes this abuse as a “psychoterror”, in which the victim is caught in a trap without even knowing why.

On resilience, in behavioral sciences, studies have identified it as the ability of an individual or group, even in an unfavorable environment, to build or rebuild themselves positively in the face of adversity (Barlach, 2005).

For Jackson, Firtko, & Edenborough (2007), resilience is a state, or process, individual, group or in a community that can be defined as the ability to adapt to adversity, maintain balance, retain some sense of control over their environment and continue to move forward in a positive way.

From the standpoint of positive psychology, examining how some people can have a positive outcome and are therefore stronger after going through some form of workplace aggression can help in the development of workers and more resilient workplaces (Heugten, 2012).

Many young people, even suffering from moral harassment at work, continue to be employed, mainly because of the salary they receive and the fear of being unemployed for a long time, as pointed out by Silva & Nakata (2016).

Thereby, the objective of this research was, from the perspective of the professionals of a vocational education institution, to analyse the role of resilience in the behavior of young workers who experienced moral harassment. This institution operates throughout Brazil, promoting the inclusion of young workers in situations of social vulnerability in the labour market. The institution forbade interviews with the young workers being assisted and requested confidentiality on their identification.

Finally, the relevance of doing a study on the moral harassment suffered by young workers and how they can be resilient in relation to the aggression experienced has the following motives: first, because of the importance of young people in society and how we can use their experiences to help train the working masses; secondly, because the proposed theme would be a contribution to academia, since it is relatively recent, with little research available on moral harassment of young, resilient workers; also considering the difficulties of researching a subject so delicate and important for people and organisations. In addition, this research may generate the possibility of exposing a part of the reality of this public that can be considered in policies and practices of people management in the organizations that shelter it.

Moral Harassment
Moral harassment has different connotations, depending on culture and context, but the use of this term is growing rapidly in various social spaces. Therefore, the term "moral harassment" is becoming known to the worker and, consequently, to society as a whole.

The author who first identified the phenomenon of moral harassment was Leymann (1990), using the term “mobbing”. Hirigoyen (2006), precursor of the term "moral harassment", disseminated and denounced the phenomenon by explaining that harassment in workplace is linked to any abusive conduct towards a person that could cause damage to either their personality or their dignity, even their physical or mental integrity, and may lead to loss of employment or degradation of the work environment in which the victim is positioned.

The hostile attitudes characterised by moral harassment were classified into four general categories by Hirigoyen (2011): purposeful deterioration of working conditions, exclusion and refusal of communication, outrage against dignity; and verbal, physical and sexual violence.

Regarding types of moral harassment, the phenomenon can be found in six forms in organizations: horizontal, vertical ascending, vertical descending, mixed, strategic and collective. Horizontal moral harassment is practiced by the colleagues of the victim and occurs when the harasser has trouble dealing with differences. Vertical ascending harassment is characterized by being ‘subordinated’ by the subordinate in relation to his superior position, and although less frequent, it does occur and can be as harmful to people as other types of harassment (Guedes, 2008). Vertical descending moral harassment is the type conducted by the hierarchical superior against the subordinate and is considered the most frequent.

Mixed harassment, according to Hirigoyen (2011), occurs when the subordinate is simultaneously attacked by superiors and colleagues, and in this case, what one has is the so-called group effect, which causes the group to follow the leader, even though their behavior is pernicious. In strategic, moral harassment, organisations act to lead employees away from their activities, having as their main characteristic the exclusionary character used by the hierarchy as the main element in the relationship between the aggressor and the victim (Guedes, 2008). Collective moral harassment occurs against a group or community of employees of the company and is characterized by punishments to employees who do not reach the determined goals (Melo, 2008).

Thus, although there are several forms of expression, moral harassment has negative and varied consequences. According to Caixeta (2010), moral harassment at work has the objective of degradation of working conditions, the endangerment of the rights and dignity of workers, the alteration of their physical or mental health, as well as compromising their future career.

**Resilience**
Resilience can be defined as the capacity that a human being, alone or as part of a social group, has, to recover psychologically when subjected to adversity and abuse, facing them, being transformed by them, and surpassing them at the end (Pinheiro, 2004).

Resilience also refers to an active process that conforms to positive adaptation within the context of significant adversity. It can be understood through two essential conditions: 1) exposure to significant threat or severe adversity; 2) the achievement of favoured positive adaptation through major advances in the development process (Luthar, Cicchetti & Becker, 2000).

Grotberg (2005) suggests that resilient behavior reveals the commitment of an individual to developing their ability to confront, win, and emerge strengthened from adverse situations.

According to Conner (1995) it is possible to identify five important characteristics of resilience: positivity, proactivity, organization, focus and flexibility. Positivity and proactivity induce change rather than avoid it. Organisation makes it possible to manage multiple tasks simultaneously and more quickly. Focus is the result of clear and objective vision. And flexibility responds to uncertainties, where resilient individuals manage change, knowing how to recover quickly.

In addition, resilience is supported on two bases: the first is adversity, represented by the unfavorable events of life; and the second is protection, which is indicated by the understanding of the forms of support, both internal and external to the individual, leading to a particular reconstruction in the face of suffering caused by a mishap (Assis, 2006).

Another aspect associated with resilience is the transformation that emerges when faced with a traumatic or adverse situation. In fact, human resilience causes human beings to restructure themselves psycho-emotionally seeking, through subjectivity and creativity, a new way of interacting with the environment (Barlach, 2005).

**Young Workers**

The presence of young people in the workforce has been encouraged by society, including being encouraged through state and federal government policies, expressed by the First Job, Young Citizen, My First Work and other programs (Fischer et al., 2003).

In addition to public policies and programs, the early entry of young people into work is legalised by Brazilian legislation, Law 10.097/00 (BRAZIL, 2000), known as the "Law of Learning", which amended the Consolidations of Labor Laws to deal with legal matters relevant to the hiring of apprentices. This law provides these apprentices with labour and social security rights, as well as the right to access and attend school.
Despite that, according to Thomé, Telmo & Koller (2010), the insertion of young people in the world of work has occurred in an increasingly precarious way, especially in labour contexts marked by informality and unemployment. In general, the entry of young workers into this market begins with more fragile and transitory occupational ties, until the establishment of a bond that is more durable or, at least, legally protected.

Thus, work can be a risk to young people as it can lead to certain types of exposure and/or experiences that can cause harm to their physical and/or psychic integrity. In this sense, Frone (2000) believes that it is important to identify and manage the characteristics of youth work. Working conditions can negatively affect professional attitudes and mental health, considering that young workers, who are still developing cognitively, emotionally and socially, may have fewer resources to deal with traumatic experiences and are likely to suffer significant effects, including harmful consequences for their future.

The perception of forms of psychological or physical harassment in the work environment by young people can facilitate the identification and prevention of these situations and their consequences, given that, in the face of this configuration of the world of work, the youth may find themselves on a career path that is meaningless to them, placing them in forms of work which are degrading, alienating and deprived of real learning opportunities, precisely at the moment in which they are defining their personal and occupational personality.

Methodology

To achieve our objective, a study with a qualitative approach was carried out, as this type of research proposes to understand the meanings and situational characteristics presented by the subjects in detail, rather than the production of quantitative measures of characteristics or behaviors (Richardson et al., 1999). As for the ends, the descriptive nature was chosen, following Cervo & Bervian (2002), for whom "descriptive research observes, registers, analyses and correlates facts or phenomena (variables) without manipulating them" (p. 66). A case study was made, which was intentionally restricted to only a few subjects, so we could achieve more depth and detail. (Vergara, 2006).

The subject of analysis was Association X, a non-profit organization that gives vulnerable young people professional training enabling them to participate in the Brazilian labour market, as it has centres throughout the nation. In this study, the Belo Horizonte (MG) centre, Brazil, focused on accessibility criteria, it was implemented with the formal authorisation and intent of the management, we were given access to informants who spend each day with young people, many of them under 18, and have access to various types of their complaints (Vergara, 2006). It is worth mentioning that, initially, direct contact with the young workers was attempted to reach the objective of the research, however, there was no reply from Association X, as previously it had definitively denied us any contact with the youth, stating any contact could
expose these young professionals. Finally, an agreement was reached and confidentiality was agreed regarding the identification of the interviewees and that the association itself, would be referred to as Association X.

Thus, the research subjects were 21 professionals from Association X, 14 instructors, 3 analysts, 1 social worker, 1 psychologist and 2 coordinators. The institution was selected for accessibility and intentionality.

During the data collection, the interview was chosen with a semi-structured script that was divided into two parts. The first part collected socioeconomic information from the interviewees and the second part focused on the moral harassment itself, with direct and objective questions on how such professionals saw the daily work of young people, and specific situations of moral harassment.

Finally, the interviews were analysed considering the technique of content analysis, based on a qualitative discourse, with reliability and validity as concerns (Bauer & Gaskell, 2005). All interviews were recorded and transcribed.

Data analysis and results

Among the interviewees, the majority were female (80.95%), between 31 and 40 years old (33.33%), single (52.38%), with a postgraduate degree (57.15%), with a family income of 5 to 7 minimum wages (33.33%), and with a working time of 3.1 to 5 years (47.61%) at Association X.

Most professionals interviewed at Association X stated that young people do not understand or know what the term ‘moral harassment’ means.

They do not know. In speech you see that they do not know, many think it is ... it's just a form of hierarchy, that he can talk to me like that because he’s the boss, he owns the company. A few have some guidance after someone tells him that might be harassment, but most of the time they are not aware of it. (E20)

No. Unfortunately, they are very limited - some, we cannot,… errrm, generalize, but let me think better ... a lot of them do not have much of a concept of this issue, the term, and in what situation to use it. (E9)

I, I do not even know if they ... if I can figure out if they know, if they know what that is. (E4)

I cannot tell you what they know, but I realize they are aware of it. (E15)

I have not yet had the opportunity to identify this in the young people I dealt with, no. From their perception of moral harassment. I have not had the opportunity yet. (E18)

When asked if Association X professionals had informed them of any situations of moral harassment, like offenses, embarrassment or disrespect at work, most of them said yes.
In some cases, yes, the young person feels, right, embarrassed, yes, already. It's ... and then they use that term a lot: 'ah, my manager today called me to talk' or not, right, 'exposed me in front of my colleagues and talked about my hair', for example, 'is that he does not like my haircut and that he thought it best I cut it and even asked the other one there, is that, right, 'cut, do not cut'. So, this is the type, of course, situation. (E5)

In some cases, depending on the audience with whom they work, the nickname's own play even hurts. Those who talk the most are usually colleagues, not the boss ... (E11)

More about that, about ... about ... turning around and saying that they're just apprentices, something like that ... And it comes from the boss, or it's from employees themselves, so they work with them and such. (E14)

Look, I've seen it from a colleague, right? And then we guide the person to talk to the manager, right? Flag what's happening, right? Sometimes on behalf of the boss too, right? And then when you go to see this situation is not only with the young person, the person is like that, the profile of it, right?

Sometimes drier, more aggressive in the way of talking, you know? (E19)

Attitudes such as having an employee cut their hair for not seeing it as handsome, putting malicious nicknames, or diminishing the person for what they are or for the position or function they perform can be classified as hostile attitudes of moral harassment (Hirigoyen, 2011). The first two attitudes are considered outrage against dignity of the victim and the third is considered a purposeful deterioration of working conditions of the victim. From the interviewees' statements, it can be inferred that these attitudes towards young workers are not punctual, and thus are cases of moral harassment.

In addition, it was possible to identify three types of moral harassment. The first type identified was vertical descending moral harassment in which the offender was hierarchically superior (E5). The second identified type was horizontal moral harassment in which the aggressor (s) were (s) work colleague (E11). And the third type of moral harassment identified was mixed harassment in which the perpetrators were both superior hierarchical and co-workers (E14 and E19).

As for moral harassment, when asked how a situation of harassment is perceived involving a young person in the daily life of the association, most reported that young people change their behavior:

Wow, we're very perceptive. The young worker is usually very communicative. For example, they arrive and sometimes you approach a subject and that young man who is talkative, changes, he becomes quieter. You approached the subject, he did not express very well, we already notice that there is something different. (E7)
Ah! They end up getting a little different right? So, in behaviour, right? Sometimes it is, is, more isolated, not wanting to participate, more inhibited. So, you must investigate. (E19)

I see ... I see them more reactive than they are standing still. [...] Normally the young worker gets a little more aggressive, he disagrees with everything he says. That "ah, in the company is this, that" and you already see that it is not, and already raises a flag totally against ... (E20)

The behavioural change of a worker, whether they are young or not, because of moral harassment, is the initial consequence of the so-called entanglement phase (Hirigoyen, 2011). In this phase, the victim has not yet recognised himself as being a victim of moral harassment, only feeling conflicted and in doubt, because situations end up transforming his work into constant torment. It is at this stage that the victim begins to feel the effect of the stress, caused by a great inner tension. They also begin to feel fear and are permanently on alert, they react more rudely, have a glacial tone in their voice, generating suppressed aggression, entering a phase of isolation, in which the victim begins to doubt their own perceptions, not being sure of what they are seeing. (Hirigoyen, 2011)

In the interviews, it can be noted that the young people identified by the interviewees are in this phase of entanglement since, as said by the professionals approached, they choose to isolate themselves, become quieter or tend to become more aggressive.

As for the motivation of the young workers to maintain and give meaning to the work in the organization where moral harassment occurred, it was noticed in the interviewees' reports that young workers see employment as an opportunity, thus having a feeling of gratitude for Association X and the affiliated organisation they are working for.

But it's ... in the opportunities I had to be with young people, they are motivated. By work ... most of the time. (E18)

Yes, they usually feel good in the company where they are. (E10)

It reports, in a positive way, they have an environment that is ... it is very receptive to their formation, and it is even possible to perceive this because when we visit the companies we know the spaces. Where they carry out the activities. (E16)

So, they like to work, when they have problems, because they like the work, they really do comment, because they see that there is something that does not... for them anyway, it is working. (E12)

Look... catching on for the majority, they get very attached to the gratitude of being in the job market. Especially today with the market in crisis. They, too, cling to it ... to the gratitude of being working and helping at home. Even our audience, which is a vulnerable audience. So, the income, thus, makes up the family income. (E1)
They are amazed. They: "Oh, I'm working!" And, we have many reports too: sometimes humble father, humble mother. Young, thus, having a position in a company... enters as an apprentice having a chance to make a career in the company and... leaves here, from house in a suit, neat and such to work in companies that require it. So, they have, is ... a vision, right, of even an improvement in life. (E2)

Usually they talk about the opportunity and the managers who are always there to explain things, accomplish tasks, some doubts that hit them regarding the events of the day and what they did in response. So, they come to ask or to question what can be done differently, what initiatives they need to implement on these issues within these organizations. (E11)

This feeling of motivation may emerge from the fact that, today, attaining a position in the labour market is not the easiest task. According to Pereira & Pinto (2004), with an extremely competitive job market and unwelcoming professional prospects, demands for qualifications are part of the reality that the young workers must confront.

The interviewees stated that the permanence of the young person in work is motivated by the need for maintenance and a career opportunity. So, they tend to give more of themselves at work, so they do not lose that job. They feel compelled to develop personally and professionally, even thinking of a chance to be hired permanently or promoted.

In addition, these attitudes corroborate the understanding of Sarriera et al. (2001), since, for the young, working class workers, gainful employment represented, and it seems still represents, the possibility of transforming their social reality for a better future.

Almeida & Silva (2004) reinforce this understanding by reporting that young people view work as protection against being marginalized and involvement in criminal activity, representing an alternative for their livelihood and/or for their family. In addition, reports indicate that young workers believe that without the help of Association X they would not have the chance to work for the types of companies they do.

What is perceived is that young workers, even suffering moral harassment at work, continue in their jobs, motivated mainly by the salary they receive and the fear of being unemployed for a long time, as Silva & Nakata's (2016) study pointed out. It is seen here, as young workers give meaning to their work, besides presenting a resilient behavior, since it is possible to perceive the young person's commitment to develop their capacity to face, win and leave strengthened from adverse situations (Grotberg, 2005).

In this way, it is possible to see how young workers tend to be resilient in the face of the aggression suffered to keep their jobs, either out of necessity, gratitude, or both. In addition, even people who develop a degree of resilience are not immune to the behavioural changes that may occur consequently. As for the consequences of moral harassment in the
personal and professional life of the young workers, the professionals interviewed emphasise the fact that the young people feel demotivated.

It is demotivation, behavior change, a young person who is very interested that ends up not having more commitment, punctuality, so I think that mainly changes the behavioral issue and some psychological even. (E3)

Suddenly, on the professional side they think they can grow, that they can be someone, if they really have this harassment, they will lose their motivation, then they will go to ways other than the path of ethics, the way of... the correct way that they should. So, the issue of motivation is what is most affected (E6).

It was also possible to note that many of the young workers work performance is impaired and they no longer take pleasure in their work.

It interferes in their development and professional growth ... it can even freeze them. (E4)

So, I see that the young worker, who cannot steady himself or ask for help, is, in this situation, they end up becoming passive, isolation is this and it even hinders their development, because they are so afraid that they end up not proving themselves. So, I see that in the general character on the issue of harassment, it for this reason that the person ends up isolating themselves. (E9)

A development that could be good, is, much smaller than expected. Maybe they would not have the space where they saw the confidence to develop some, some point to improve, and, basically, their professional development is harmed. What we notice. (E15)

It's a really emotional question. They are ... to feel, a matter of self-esteem, a question of their development. This can detract from their own performance in the company. With that ... their question of trust in people, in the confidence of the professional themselves that they want to be. (E16)

In their personal life, young workers may suffer from low self-esteem and psychological problems:

Not wanting to work or staying indoors, leading to depression depending on... the, harassment and exposure it causes. (E4)

Psychological consequences... Psychological damage... relationship, is... in the work itself, or can impair performance... ultimately demotivation, health issues, can harm health. (E10)
And... same emotional question. Of... they are... feeling, a matter of self-esteem, a question of their development... The emotional consequences, too. Of... being stuck. Of reproach, of... shyness, of much. (E16)

Ah, complicated. I think it can directly affect self-esteem, self-confidence. (They) Do not want to work or stay indoors, leading to a depression depending on ... the, harassment and exposure... (E4)

All these cases corroborate the consequences that moral harassment can have on the lives of young workers. With moral harassment, repeated humiliating, degrading treatment, persecution or offense occur in such a way as to reduce the self-esteem of the victim, leading to mental imbalance and stress. Mental health and, often, even physical, is compromised, generating or aggravating diseases. These are called psychosomatic diseases. There is also a tendency for the harassed to become effectively unproductive, but not because of their personal and functional qualities, but simply because of the harassment.

In this sense, Schmidt (2002) exemplifies various effects of moral harassment such as self-condemnation, feelings of uselessness and failure, decreased productivity, increased absenteeism, dismissal, the weakening of health, and tension in affective relationships, and a lack of any real solution, which can end up leading the already hopeless victim to lose the will to live.

Salvador (2002), presents the effects of moral violence as a destructive process that can lead the victim to permanent incapacitation and even to death: the so-called ‘bulicide’. Aggression tends to trigger anxiety, and the victim becomes defensive because he or she feels threatened and, in addition, feelings of failure and impotence become frequent.

Finally, regarding the way in which cases such as these are treated in Association X, it was noticed through the reports that, when the facts become clear to the professionals of the institution, there is usually transfer or dismissal of the young person from the company:

A removal, a transfer from the company, there was also an older case here that I remember now, this was also the issue of sexual harassment and we managed to... why is it like this? when the young workers sign the contract. they lose the right to be an apprentice again. And in this case, how a situation like this happened, that we needed the contract to be closed for the girl to leave the company, to protect her, we got authorisation from the Ministry of Labor for her to be re-assigned to another company. (E18)

First thing is to remove the young worker from the company, when it is already something like that, it is noted, likewise, the young woman reported that the manager tried to grab her, kiss her inside the
company. When the young woman reported, the first step was to get the young woman out of the company. (E17)

Thus, it is possible to see that, when sexual or moral harassment takes place in these organizations, one of the direct consequences for the young professionals is their dismissal or transfer, as stated by the scholars of the subject (Freitas, 2001), as a way of "protecting" the subject-victim. These young victims, who have been through an embarrassing situation within the organisation and who have suffered abuse, are transferred or removed from the organisation. However, nothing was quoted by the interviewees as to what happens to the perpetrator in these cases, demonstrating that harassment often goes unpunished within organisations.

This fact may contribute to the victims not denouncing those who practice abuse, since if they denounce, their jobs and benefits may be threatened, which is referenced by scholars of the subject (Santos, 2002), as being justified by the assumption of "protection", and because they do not see punitive measures for the aggressor, according to their understanding.

Conclusion

This study aimed to analyses the role of resilience in the behavior of young workers who experienced moral harassment, from the viewpoint of the professionals of a vocational educational institution. As a result, it was verified that the interviewees showed that they did not understand the concept of moral harassment, which they said was common among young people.

Moral harassment can be identified through behavioral changes of young when they suffer this type of aggression. The young workers identified by the interviewees are in the so-called "entanglement phase" whereby they choose to be isolated, becoming quieter and introspective, or pretending to understand the aggression as a joke. According to those interviewees, these young workers have not yet become aware that they are victims of moral harassment, despite the discomfort and doubt about their working conditions, because these situations end up transforming their work into a constant torment. At this stage, the victim begins to feel the effects of the stress caused by a great inner tension, reacting with veiled attacks, becoming isolated, and in which they begin to doubt their own perceptions, not being sure of their own feelings (Hirigoyen, 2011).

On the subject of the desire to remain in the organisation where harassment took place, and of what their work means to them, it is important to highlight the difficulties involved in finding their place in the labour market, especially considering the turbulent political-economic crisis that the country is going through. Therefore, young workers tend to be committed at work in order to maintain employment. They feel compelled to develop personally and professionally, even
hoping for a chance to be hired as a full-time employee or get a better position. The interviewees affirmed that the permanence of the young people in employment is motivated by a desire to maintain the position and by career opportunity. Young workers see these first work experiences as a great opportunity in their lives, thereby feeling gratitude for Association X and the affiliated organization they are working for. Thus, it is possible to see how and why young people tend to be resilient in the face of the aggression suffered to keep their jobs, either out of necessity or out of gratitude.

In addition, even people who develop resilience are not immune to the consequences that may occur in their behavior. Respondents reported that the consequences of moral harassment that most affect the personal and professional lives of young people are demotivation, impairment of work performance, loss of the pleasure of working, low self-esteem and psychological problems.

Lastly, regarding how harassment cases are treated in Association X, we gathered, through the reports that when the professionals of the institution become aware of them, the young worker is transferred or removed from the company, compounding the possible consequences of moral harassment, since no actions related to the organisation are reported.

The fact is that organizations need to effectively develop and implement actions aimed at eliminating or reducing the risks that involve incidents of aggression in their internal environments, thus promoting a healthy environment for their workers.
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